10/17/95 13:18 270	056927001	SNO-FIELD OFFICE →→→		Ø 002		
		SNO	1-57R-95	-05	5	
014322	9	MEGENUC	Ш П	Distribution		
014022		0 OCT 1 7 1995		File # OC-	<u>30-(</u> 1 into	$\frac{\gamma}{\gamma}$
CAXED			U	LDM		Ê
[S:54]				OH R6	\geq	+
NAA Acrylic Contamination Tests in the Cavity B. Sur, E. D. Earle, E. Gaudette, R. Deal and G. Jonkmans, C.R.L.			SS		 -	
			VD		E	
We re	eport here on contamination	n tests on two acrylic sample	s. The object of t	AH DeB R	7	\mathbf{L}
first test was to determine the contamination that may remain after the sanding a cleaning of bonds. The second test was to determine the contamntion level of a bo made in-situ in the ramp clean room.				u Hallm	Vac	₩E
made 12-alta	•			RDoe	·····	<u>;</u>

Sample Preparation and assay:

An 8" wide by 24" long piece of 2" thick acrylic was taken inside the tent which had been constructed around one of the finished chimney pieces for dust containment during sanding. The acrylic sample was propped up vertically on its 8" by 2" edge. A 2" wide area on the top of both optical surfaces was sanded for approximately 15 minutes simulating the actual sanding procedure followed for the chimney bonds. A series of sanding discs of increasing grades (i.e. decreasing abrasive particle sizes) were used on the mechanical sander with water cooling provided by a squirt hottle. The abraded acrylic and any abrasive that came off the sander ran down and congealed on the exposed unsanded acrylic surfaces. Further, the acrylic test piece was left exposed inside the tent for the rest of the shift (approximately 4 hours) so that dust from further chimney sanding would deposit on its surfaces.

The test piece was wrapped and brought back to CRL, where the acrylic cleaning procedure was simulated. The piece was stood vertically in a sink and ultrapure water (UPW) from the CRL Millipore system was allowed to trickle down from the top of the surfaces. As this did not remove the congealed dust on the surfaces, they were further cleaned by gently wiping with clean, wet lint-free paper wipes. Approximately 1 gallon total of UPW was used in the cleaning procedure. The sanded portion of the test piece was then cut off from the rest of the "clear" acrylic and samples for NAA were prepared scparately from the sanded and clear pieces for neutron activation analysis (NAA). A dedicated table saw for acrylic radio-assay was used for the cutting procedures.

Standard activation and counting procedures were used for the assay. Each sample of approximately 900 g was irradiated in the high flux region of the NRU reactor with at least two sealed monitors containing known µg amounts of U and Th. After irradiation, all non-optical surfaces were machined off to eliminate surface contamination due to handling. The samples were then vaporized in an oven to reduce their mass. The residues in the suprasil quartz oven tubes were rinsed out with a warm HF-HNO3 mixture into small vials for y-ray counting in the CRL well-type Ge detector. The test samples were counted for at least 24 hours, preceded and followed by short counts of the sealed monitors in identical geometries. The 228.2-keV (10.7% B.R.) line from 2.3 d 239Np was monitored for the 238U content, and the 312-keV (36% B.R.) line from 27 d 233Pa for the 232Th content. Separate peak and background regions of interest were set in the y-ray energy spectrum based on energy calibration and the monitor spectra. The U and Th contents (or 2-o upper limits) were obtained by directly scaling sample count-rates to monitor count-rates normalized to their known U and Th contents. A wealth of previous data has proven the efficacy of this procedure for the 2381) and 2321'h assay of activic (and other organic) samples.

1

Results

 Clear portion of sanded acrylic block - I.D.: #306, 11-Sep-95. Dimensions: 2 11/16" x 2" x 8" Total optical surface area = 32 in² or 200 cm² Net weight after machining = %3 g Measured contamination: {²³⁸U] ≤ 3.7 × 10⁻¹² g/g (2-0 Upper Limit)

 $[232Th] \le 0.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ g/g} (2-0 \text{ Upper Limit})$

2) Sanded portion of sanded acrylic block - LD.: #307, 11-Sep-95.

Dimensions: $2 \frac{11}{16} \times 2^{\circ} \times 8^{\circ}$ Total optical surface area = $32 \ln^2 \text{ or } 200 \text{ cm}^2$ Net weight after machining = 860 gMeasured contamination;

> $[^{238}U] \le 2.0 \times 10^{-12} \text{ g/g} (2-\sigma \text{ Upper Limit})$ $[232Th] \le 0.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ g/g} (2-\sigma \text{ Upper Limit})$

IL IN-SITU ACRYLIC BOND

Sample Preparation:

Two pieces of 2° thick acrylic were bonded in the ramp clean room. The bonded piece was transported to CRL, where a sample was cut which contained the bond. This ample was irradiated and prepared for γ -ray counting by the precedures outlined in the previous section.

Results

2) In-situ bond - I.D.: #308, 27-Sep-95.

Dimensions: $1^{*} x 2 1/4^{*} x 8^{*}$ Total optical surface area = $16 \text{ in}^2 \text{ or } 100 \text{ cm}^2$ Weight = 572 g Measured contamination:

 $[238U] \le 3.7 \times 10^{-12}$ g/g (2-0 Upper Limit)

 $[232Th] \le (11 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-12} \text{ g/g}$

IIL CONCLUSIONS

1) The results from the sanding tests show that any sanding residues can apprently be washed off because no contamination above background is seen. The limits set on the U and Th levels are within tolerances. These results are certainly encouraging as far as final cleaning of the acrylic vessel i concerned.

2

2) A 20-o signal for Th contamination is seen in the bond sample. The measurement may be reinterpreted as 9.45 ng Th per foot of bond. Thus the total Th in the bonds may be compared to the specifications for total Th in the acrylic vessel. It may also be desirable or germane to pursue and eliminate the source of this contamination in the acrylic bonds.

3